Page 1 of 7

### 11/17/2009 10:13 AM

Party	hber of Voters : 63,714 of 920,598 = 6.92%		Early Election				on	т	otal	Precincts Reporting 376 of 3
	Candidate		L¢	iiiy		Liecti			otai	
oposition 1 City of Da	lworthington Gardens, Vote For 1									
For			84	76.36%	, D	309	70.55%	393	71.72%	
Against			26	23.64%	, D	129	29.45%	155	28.28%	
	Cast Votes:		110	99.10%	, D	438	99.55%	548	99.46%	
	Over Votes:		0	0.00%	, D	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under Votes:		1	0.90%	, D	2	0.45%	3	0.54%	
			Precir	ncts			Voters		٦	
		Counted	Total		Percent	Ballots	Registered	Percent	4	
		1		1	100.00%	5	51 1,78	6 30.85%	6	
		6					•	•		
oposition Fort Worth	CCPD, vote For 1									
For			3,864	79.52%		,	81.58%	,	81.07%	
Against			995	20.48%	0	2,710	18.42%	3,705	18.93%	
	Cast Votes:		4,859	97.18%		14,712	96.69%	19,571	96.81%	
	Over Votes:		0	0.00%		1	0.01%	1	0.00%	
	Under Votes:		141	2.82%	, D	502	3.30%	643	3.18%	
			Precir	ncts			Voters		7	
		Counted	Total		Percent	Ballots	Registered	Percent	1	
		195		195	100.00%	20,2	15 333,13	2 6.07%	6 0	
oposition No. 1 City o	of Haltom City, Vote For 1									
For			192	73.85%	, D	483	74.54%	675	74.34%	
Against			68	26.15%			25.46%		25.66%	
	Cast Votes:		260	98.48%	, D	648	98.78%	908	98.70%	
	Over Votes:		0	0.00%	, D	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under Votes:		4	1.52%	, D	8	1.22%	12	1.30%	
			Preci	ncts			Voters		Г	
		Counted	Total		Percent	Ballots	Registered	Percent	4	
		13		13	100.00%		20 18,19		/	

Page 2 of 7

### 11/17/2009 10:13 AM

otal Number of Voters	: 63,714 of 920,598 = 6.92%									Precincts Reporting 376 of 37
Party	Candidate		E	arly		Electi	on	Т	otal	tal
councilmember, Place 5 (L	Jnexpired Term) City Of Saginaw, Vo	te For 1								
Alex Drew	McCraw		82	50.62%		165	49.85%	247	50.10%	
Monte T. N	lichols		80	49.38%	<b>)</b>	166	50.15%	246	49.90%	
	Cast Votes:		162	88.52%	)	331	75.74%	493	79.52%	
	Over Votes:		0	0.00%		0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under Votes:		21	11.48%	b	106	24.26%	127	20.48%	
			Preci	ncts		Voters			7	
		Counted	Tota	1	Percent	Ballots	Registered	Percent	1	
		6		6	100.00%	62	20 10,93	3 5.67%	6	
anacitian No. 1. City of	Sansom Park, Vote For 1				-					
				70 570/			00.000/	10	0.4.400/	
For			11	78.57%		38	86.36%	49	84.48%	
Against			3	21.43%			13.64%	9	15.52%	
	Cast Votes:			100.00%			100.00%		100.00%	
	Over Votes:		0	0.00%		0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under Votes:		0	0.00%	)	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
			Preci	ncts			Voters		7	
		Counted	Tota	1	Percent	Ballots	Registered	Percent	]	
		1		1	100.00%		58 1,73	0 3.35%	6	
oposition No. 1 - Fiscal	Year City Of River Oaks, Vote For 1									
For			81	79.41%	b	164	75.23%	245	76.56%	
Against			21	20.59%	b	54	24.77%	75	23.44%	
	Cast Votes:		102	98.08%	þ	218	95.61%	320	96.39%	
	Over Votes:		0	0.00%	þ	1	0.44%	1	0.30%	
	Under Votes:		2	1.92%		9	3.95%	11	3.31%	
			Preci	ncts			Voters		7	
		Counted	Tota		Percent	Ballots	Registered	Percent	1	
		3		3	100.00%	24	32 3,61	9.20%	/	

Page 3 of 7

#### 11/17/2009 10:13 AM

						••••				11/17/200
,	ters : 63,714 of 920,598 = 6.92%				<b>L</b> [		<b>_</b> _	-		Precincts Reporting 376 of 376 =
Party	Candidate		E	arly		Elect	ion		otal	
Proposition No. 2 - Co	uncil Member Term, Filling Vacancies Ci	ty Of River Oaks,	Vote For	1						
For			65	63.11%	%	119	53.36%	184	56.44%	
Again	st		38	36.89%	%	104	46.64%	142	43.56%	
	Cast Votes:		103	99.04%	%	223	97.81%	326	98.19%	
	Over Votes:		0	0.00%	%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under Votes:		1	0.96%	%	5	2.19%	6	1.81%	
			Preci	ncts			Voters		7	
		Counted	Tota	1	Percent	Ballots	Registered	Percent		
		3		3	100.00%	3	32 3,610	9.20%	6	
roposition No. 3 - Me	etings Of The City Council City Of River	Oaks, Vote For 1								
For			74	72.55%	%	169	75.78%	243	74.77%	
Again	st		28	27.45%	%	54	24.22%	82	25.23%	
	Cast Votes:		102	98.08%	%	223	97.81%	325	97.89%	
	Over Votes:		0	0.00%	%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under Votes:		2	1.92%	%	5	2.19%	7	2.11%	
			Preci	ncts			Voters		7	
		Counted	Tota	I	Percent	Ballots	Registered	Percent		
		3		3	100.00%	3	32 3,610	9.20%	6	
roposition No. 4 - Qu	alification And Forfeiture City Of River O	aks, Vote For 1								
For			72	69.90%	%	145	67.44%	217	68.24%	
Again	st		31	30.10%	%	70	32.56%	101	31.76%	
	Cast Votes:		103	99.04%	%	215	94.30%	318	95.78%	
	Over Votes:		0	0.00%	%	1	0.44%	1	0.30%	
	Under Votes:		1	0.96%	%	12	5.26%	13	3.92%	
			Preci	ncts			Voters		1	
		Counted	Tota	I	Percent	Ballots	Registered	Percent	1	

Page 4 of 7

#### 11/17/2009 10:13 AM

	/oters : 63,714 of 920,598 = 6.92%						L			Precincts Reporting 376 of 376 =
Party	Candidate		Earl	y		Electi	on	T.	otal	
roposition No. 1	Town of Trophy Club, Vote For 1									
For			6	50.00%	b	3	50.00%	9	50.00%	
Aga	ainst		6	50.00%	5	3	50.00%	9	50.00%	
	Cast Votes:		12 1	00.00%	þ	6	100.00%	18	100.00%	
	Over Votes:		0	0.00%	)	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under Votes:		0	0.00%	b	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
			Precinc	ts			Voters		1	
		Counted	Total		Percent	Ballots	Registered	Percent	1	
		1		1	100.00%	1	8 296	6.08%	Ď	
oposition Aledo	ISD. Vote For 1									
For			1	33.33%		6	40.00%	7	38.89%	
Aga				66.67%			60.00%		61.11%	
							100.00%		100.00%	
	Cast Votes: Over Votes:		0	00.00% 0.00%		0	0.00%	18	0.00%	
	Under Votes:		0	0.00%		0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	onder votes.		Ŭ	010070	, 	Ŭ	0.0070	Ũ	٦	
			Precinc	ts			Voters			
		Counted	Total		Percent 100.00%	Ballots	Registered	Percent 7.17%	_	
		1		1	100.00%	1	8 251	7.17%	D	
oposition Arling	gton ISD, Vote For 1									
For			2,953	63.14%		7,522	64.78%	10,475	64.31%	
Aga	ainst		1,724	36.86%		4,090	35.22%	5,814	35.69%	
	Cast Votes:		4,677	98.30%	)	11,612	97.85%	16,289	97.98%	
	Over Votes:		1	0.02%	5	0	0.00%	1	0.01%	
	Under Votes:		80	1.68%		255	2.15%	335	2.02%	
			Precinc	ts			Voters		٦	
		Counted	Total		Percent	Ballots	Registered	Percent	1	
		76		76	100.00%	16,62				

Page 5 of 7

#### 11/17/2009 10:13 AM

Party		Candidate		arly	[	Elec	tion		Total	_∎
l'arty		Ganalado		ully		2.00			- otai	
Proposition N	No. 1 Everman ISE	D, Vote For 1								
	For		42	35.90%		254	52.59%	296	49.33%	
	Against		75	64.10%		229	47.41%	304	50.67%	
		Cast Votes:	117	98.32%		483	99.38%	600	99.17%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%		0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	2	1.68%		3	0.62%	5	0.83%	
			Preci	incts			Vo	ters	7	
			Counted Tota	ıl	Percent	Ballots	Regi	stered Percent		
			11	11	100.00%	6	605	10,347 5.85	%	
Proposition 1	1, Vote For 1									
-	For		9,485	57.60%		25,601	56.73%	35,086	56.96%	
	Against		6,983	42.40%		19,529	43.27%	26,512		
		Cast Votes:	16,468	97.28%		45,130	96.46%	61,598	96.68%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%		13	0.03%	13	0.02%	
		Under Votes:	460	2.72%		1,643	3.51%	2,103		
Proposition 2	2, Vote For 1									
	For		9,609	58.31%		29,741	65.51%	39,350	63.59%	
	Against		6,869	41.69%		15,658	34.49%	22,527	36.41%	
		Cast Votes:	16,478	97.34%		45,399	97.04%	61,877	97.12%	
		Over Votes:	2	0.01%		8	0.02%	10	0.02%	
		Under Votes:	448	2.65%		1,379	2.95%	1,827	2.87%	
Proposition 3	3, Vote For 1									
	For		9,629	58.34%		28,606	63.37%	38,235	62.03%	
	Against		6,875	41.66%		16,533	36.63%	23,408	37.97%	
		Cast Votes:	16,504	97.50%		45,139	96.48%	61,643	96.75%	
		Over Votes:	1	0.01%		7	0.01%	8	0.01%	
		Under Votes:	423	2.50%		1,640	3.51%	2,063	3.24%	
Proposition 4	4, Vote For 1									
	For		9,136	55.61%		26,430	58.31%	35,566	57.59%	
	Against		7,293			18,898	41.69%	26,191	42.41%	
		Cast Votes:	16,429	97.05%		45,328	96.88%	61,757	96.93%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%		6	0.01%	6		
		Under Votes:	499	2.95%		1,452	3.10%	1,951	3.06%	

Page 6 of 7

#### 11/17/2009 10:13 AM

otal Number of Voters		<b></b>		L I				Precincts Reporting 376 of 376
Party	Candidate	E	arly	Ele	ction		Total	
Proposition 5, Vote For 1								
For		8,793	54.73%	24,423	55.92%	33,216	55.60%	
Against		7,273	45.27%	19,255	44.08%	26,528	44.40%	
	Cast Votes:	16,066	94.91%	43,678	93.36%	59,744	93.77%	
	Over Votes:	0	0.00%	2	0.01%	4	0.01%	
	Under Votes:	862	5.09%	3,104	6.63%	3,966	6.22%	
Proposition 6, Vote For 1								
For		9,882	61.19%	27,504	62.50%	37,386	62.14%	
Against		6,269	38.81%	16,50	37.50%	22,774	37.86%	
	Cast Votes:	16,151	95.41%	44,009	94.06%	60,160	94.42%	
	Over Votes:	2	0.01%	8	0.02%	10	0.02%	
	Under Votes:	775	4.58%	2,769	5.92%	3,544	5.56%	
Proposition 7, Vote For 1								
For		10,732	65.47%	31,202	69.14%	41,934	68.16%	
Against		5,661	34.53%	13,928	30.86%	19,589	31.84%	
	Cast Votes:	16,393	96.84%	45,130	96.46%	61,523	96.56%	
	Over Votes:	0	0.00%	14	0.03%	14	0.02%	
	Under Votes:	535	3.16%	1,642	3.51%	2,177	3.42%	
Proposition 8, Vote For 1								
For		11,317	68.46%	31,383	68.94%	42,700	68.82%	
Against		5,213	31.54%	14,136	31.06%	19,349	31.18%	
	Cast Votes:	16,530	97.65%	45,519	97.29%	62,049	97.39%	
	Over Votes:	1	0.01%	11	0.02%	12	0.02%	
	Under Votes:	397	2.35%	1,256	2.68%	1,653	2.59%	
Proposition 9, Vote For 1								
For		12,621	76.82%	34,945	77.32%	47,566	77.19%	
Against		3,809	23.18%	10,248	22.68%	14,057	22.81%	
	Cast Votes:	16,430	97.06%	45,193	96.60%	61,623	96.72%	
	Over Votes:	0	0.00%	e	0.01%	6	0.01%	
	Under Votes:	498	2.94%	1,587	3.39%	2,085	3.27%	

Page 7 of 7

#### 11/17/2009 10:13 AM

Total Number of Voters : 63							Precincts Reporting 376 of 376 =	
Party	Candidate	Early		Elec	Election		otal	
Proposition 10, Vote For 1								
For		10,867	66.48%	29,554	66.05%	40,421	66.16%	
Against		5,480	33.52%	15,191	33.95%	20,671	33.84%	
	Cast Votes:	16,347	96.57%	44,745	95.64%	61,092	95.88%	
	Over Votes:	0	0.00%	14	0.03%	14	0.02%	
	Under Votes:	581	3.43%	2,027	4.33%	2,608	4.09%	
Proposition 11, Vote For 1								
For		13,434	81.51%	38,244	84.56%	51,678	83.74%	
Against		3,048	18.49%	6,985	15.44%	10,033	16.26%	
	Cast Votes:	16,482	97.37%	45,229	96.67%	61,711	96.86%	
	Over Votes:	0	0.00%	9	0.02%	9	0.01%	
	Under Votes:	446	2.63%	1,548	3.31%	1,994	3.13%	